
COPYRIGHT RESTRICTIONS

Many Document Summary Service subscribers have subscription arrangements permitting the onward distribution/circulation of DSS summaries to their own staff or maintained institutions (such as schools within a subscribing Local Authority) and their staff and governors.

In this context, it is important to remind all those who receive DSS summaries either in hard copy or electronically (*according to the specific subscription agreement under which they are received*) that **they must adhere strictly to the copyright restrictions and not pass copies on to others.**

The exception to this is giving a *single copy of one summary* to an individual or institution with the aim of publicising the Service. The Service and its subscriber base have grown significantly since it was first established in 1989 *entirely* through personal recommendations of this kind. We are extremely proud of this, and very grateful to those who have contributed to the continuation of the Service and the very high regard in which it is held by spreading the word in this way.

The viability of the Service is totally dependent on sustaining and increasing its subscriber base, and therefore on subscribers observing copyright and onward distribution restrictions. We thank you most sincerely for helping us to maintain the Service by respecting the conditions of subscription agreements in this respect.

DISCLAIMER

The University requires that the Document Summary Service subscription agreement includes a 'disclaimer' with regard to the information provided – 'whilst every effort is made to ensure the accuracy of the summaries, it is always possible that an error will occur'. As you will appreciate, every effort is made to ensure that such errors do not occur at any stage in the following process:

- each document is read, reviewed and digested;
- a record is made of the main points, which are then sorted into an appropriate order;
- any ambiguities and lack of clarity in the original document are checked with the 'relevant body';
- a first draft is written;
- a decision is taken as to how much detail can/should be included;
- a summary is then re-drafted (often several times) to produce the final 'easy read' version.

In this process, it is obviously possible that we may *unknowingly* misinterpret information or that errors may occur in the typing of re-drafts. It is also true that any summary loses in detail, and so subscribers should refer to the original document when necessary. However, since 1989 no major errors have been made. On the one or two occasions that minor errors have crept in, a helpful subscriber has always alerted us and a corrected version has been issued immediately.